



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/428,363	10/27/1999	FREDERICK MURRAY BURG	113571	4560
26652	7590	11/28/2003		
AT&T CORP. P.O. BOX 4110 MIDDLETOWN, NJ 07748				
			EXAMINER LIN, KENNY S	
			ART UNIT 2154	PAPER NUMBER 17

DATE MAILED: 11/28/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/428,363	BURG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenny Lin	2154	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 7-15 and 18-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-4, 7-15 and 18-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-4, 7-15 and 18-27 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1-4, 7-15 and 18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Goss et al (hereinafter Goss), U.S. Patent Number 6,366,575, in view of Morganstein et al (hereinafter Morganstein), U.S. Patent Number Re. 37,001.

4. Morganstein was cited in the previous office action.

5. As per claims 1 and 13, Goss taught the invention as claimed including a method/apparatus for setting up a call between a subscriber premises and a call center (col.1, lines 62-65) comprising:

- a. Receiving a call set up request from a gateway responsive to the subscriber premises (fig.6, col.1, lines 62-65, col.3, lines 28-29, col.6, lines 16-21, col.22, lines 53-64), said gateway being connected to the subscriber premises via a data network (col.22, lines 53-64);

- b. Sending an availability query from the gateway to the call center via the data network (158, fig.6, Wait # minutes before contacting me, col.6, lines 21-23, col.13, lines 7-15);
- c. Preparing a call set up instruction for setting up the call initiated by the call center to the subscriber premises if an availability reply is received from the call center (col.2, lines 2-8, 12-13, col.7, lines 1-6, 59-64, col.8, lines 11-18, 35-42); and
- d. Estimating a time-in-queue and preparing a call queue status message for delivery to the gateway if an unavailability reply is received before the availability reply is received from the call center (col.1, lines 19-22, col.2, lines 14-16, col.7, lines 1-10, col.9, lines 13-19, col.23, lines 42-45, 66-67).

6. Goss did not specifically teach to estimate a time-in-queue for the call center to become available to initiate the call. However, since Goss taught to use timer in queue to determine whether the request will be rejected or not (col.23, lines 42-45), it would have been obvious to use a timer to estimate a time-in-queue to estimate how long the subscriber has been waiting. Morganstein also taught to estimate the time-in-queue (col.5, lines 18-20) and prepare a call queue status message (col.2, lines 15-18, col.5, lines 26-39, col.9, lines 10-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Goss and Morganstein because Morganstein's teaching of determining time-in-queue helps Goss' system to show how long the subscriber has been waiting in queue for help.

7. As per claims 2 and 14, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Goss further taught that a call path between the call center and the subscriber premises is provided (col.3, lines 65-67, col.4, lines 23-26).

8. As per claim 3, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claim 2. Goss further taught that a network switch provides the call path in response to the call set up instruction (col.2, lines 2-8, 12-13, col.7, lines 59-64, col.8, lines 11-18, 35-45, col.22, lines 65-67, col.23, lines 1-4).

9. As per claims 4 and 15, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 2 and 14. Goss further taught that a call to the subscriber premises is placed when providing the call path (col.2, lines 2-8, 12-13, col.7, lines 59-64, col.8, lines 11-18, 35-45, col.22, lines 65-67, col.23, lines 1-4).

10. As per claims 7 and 18, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Morganstein further taught to send the call queue status message to the gateway for delivery to the subscriber premises (col.2, lines 15-18, col.3, lines 64-67, col.5, lines 26-39, col.9, lines 10-12).

11. As per claims 8 and 19, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Goss further taught to include the reception of an agent available

reply from the call center (col.7, lines 1-6, 59-64, col.8, lines 11-18, 35-42) and preparation of an updated call queue status message for delivery to the gateway (col.9, lines 30-46).

12. As per claims 9 and 20, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Goss further taught the preparation of an updated call queue status message for delivery to the gateway after receiving the availability reply (col.7, lines 1-6, 59-64, col.8, lines 11-18, 35-42, col.9, lines 30-46).

13. As per claims 10 and 21, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Goss further taught that the subscriber premises include a computer for communication with the gateway and a telephone for communicating with the call center (44, 46, fig.1, col.5, lines 10-13).

14. As per claims 11-12 and 22-23, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Goss further taught the preparation of a call connection message related to the call being set up between the call center and the subscriber premises and sending the call connection message to the gateway for delivery to the subscriber premises (col.7, lines 1-6, 59-64, col.8, lines 11-18, 35-42, col.14, lines 30-37, 40-45).

15. As per claims 24 and 26, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Goss further taught that the data network is Internet (col.1, lines 62-65).

16. As per claims 25 and 27, Goss and Morganstein taught the invention substantially as claimed in claims 1 and 13. Goss further taught to utilize a telephone at the subscriber premises for enabling communication between a user at the subscriber premises and an available agent at the call center (col.2, lines 2-8, 11-13).

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Shtivelman, US 6,393,015.

Dezonno et al, US 5,991,394.

18. A shortened statutory period for reply to this Office action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action.

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenny Lin whose telephone number is (703)305-0438. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM to 5 PM Tuesday to Friday and every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703)305-9678. Additionally, the fax numbers for Group 2100 are as follows:

Official Responses: (703) 872-9306

Application/Control Number: 09/428,363
Art Unit: 2154

Page 7

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)305-6121.

ksl
November 20, 2003



MENG-AL T. AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100